Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure B2022

Major vital projects and services needed by Goleta residents are under-funded, as acknowledged even by opponents. For example, Goleta's deferred maintenance backlog for pavement and road repairs, already at \$42 million, will grow to an estimated \$78 million in just 10 years.

There is no mystery about how new revenue would be spent because unfunded projects and services are well documented in Goleta's two-year budget. These include maintaining and upgrading roads; improving Community Center, pedestrian, and bicycle infrastructure; supporting local businesses; maintaining parks and open spaces; supporting the library; and restoring creeks.

The sales tax won't go into effect until 2024. By then the economy will likely have significantly improved. If instead we continue deferring vital projects, we'd be passing major debt along to future generations. If voters wish to end the tax, it can be changed through the same, democratic process of enacting it: a ballot measure.

The tax would have modest impacts on Goleta residents and businesses, while providing substantial community benefits. Essential items are exempted from the tax such as: gasoline, grocery food, prescription medications, and rent. Neighboring cities like Santa Barbara and Carpinteria have demonstrated that this does not hurt local businesses. People affording a \$50 meal aren't deterred by paying another 50 cents, which is all this tax does. Nearly half the increased revenue would be paid by Goleta non-residents. Goletans will also save by avoiding expenses that result from bad roads, such as wheel alignments, cracked wheels, and collisions.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal to the statement opposed to Ballot Measure B2022 at the Statewide General Election for Santa Barbara County to be held on November 8, 2022, hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

8/12/2022

8/15/2022

ARGUMENTS

FORM OF STATEMENT TO BE FILED BY AUTHORS OR ARGUMENTS

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the (circle one: primary / rebuttal) argument (circle one: in favor or / against) ballot Measure at the General Municipal Election for the City of Goleta to be held on November 8, 2022, hereby state that the argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.

	<u> </u>
Print Name KYLE PICHARDS	Kyle Parlordo
Title CON CONCLINEMBER If applicable, argument submitted on behalf of:	Signature Date 8 11 2023
CITY OF GOLFA	Date 8/11/2023
(Name of Organization	*
Print Name James Kyriaco Title City Council member If applicable, argument submitted on behalf of:	Signature Signature
City of boleta	Date 6/10/2022
(Name of Organization	
Print Name Paula Perotte Title Mayor Print Name Stuar Kasdin Title Mayor Print Name Stuar Kasdin Title Mayor Protein If applicable, argument submitted on behalf of: CTY S Green (Name of Organization	Signature Date 8-12-2022 Signature Date 8-15-2022
Print Name Title If applicable, argument submitted on behalf of: (Name of Organization	Signature Date

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9, Chapter 3 (beginning with Section 9200) of the Elections Code (EC) shall be accompanied by the foregoing form statement to be signed by each proponent, and by each author, if different, of the argument.

Attach this form to the signed and properly titled argument. See following page for additional notes.

Note:

Author Names and Signatures

- All Authors must print their name(s) upon, and sign, the foregoing form. (EC9600)
- Print his/her name(s) upon (EC 9283, and
- Print his/her name and sign the Arguments/Rebuttal itself (EC 9285).

Further, pursuant to Election Code § 9282, printed arguments submitted to the voters shall
be titled either "Argument In Favor Of Measure" or "Argument Against Measure".
Likewise, printed rebuttal arguments submitted pursuant to Election Code § 9285 shall
be titled either "Rebuttal To Argument In Favor Of Measure" or "Rebuttal to Argument

Argument Length

Against Measure____ "

- Primary arguments may not exceed 300 words in length. (EC 9282(c))
- Rebuttal argument may not exceed 250 words in length. (EC9285(a)(3))