

Planning and Environmental Services 130 Cremona Drive, Suite B, Goleta, CA 93117 (805) 961-7500

REGULAR MEETING

Tuesday, May 13, 2008

CONSENT CALENDAR – 2:30 P.M.

Scott Branch, Planning Staff

SIGN SUBCOMMITTEE – 2:30 P.M.

Members: Carl Schneider, Cecilia Brown, Thomas Smith

STREET TREE SUBCOMMITTEE

Members: Chris Messner, Bob Wignot, Simon Herrera

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA – 3:00 P.M.

REGULAR AGENDA – 3:15 P.M.

GOLETA CITY HALL – COUNCIL CHAMBERS 130 CREMONA DRIVE, SUITE B, GOLETA, CALIFORNIA

Members:

Bob Wignot (At-Large Member), Chair Thomas Smith (At-Large Member), Vice Chair Scott Branch (Architect) Cecilia Brown (At-Large Member) Simon Herrera (Landscape Contractor) Chris Messner (Landscape Contractor) Carl Schneider (Architect)

A. CALL MEETING TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The regular meeting of the City of Goleta Design Review Board was called to order by Vice Chair Smith at 3:00 p.m. in the Goleta City Hall, 130 Cremona Drive, Suite B, Goleta, California.

Board Members present: Thomas Smith, Vice Chair; Scott Branch; Simon Herrera; Chris Messner; and Carl Schneider.

Board Members absent: Bob Wignot, Chair; and Cecilia Brown.

Staff present: Scott Kolwitz, Senior Planner; Shine Ling, Assistant Planner; Brian Hiefield, Planning Technician; Dan McLaughlin, Interim Building Official; and Linda Gregory, Recording Clerk.



B. ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA

B-1. MEETING MINUTES

A. Design Review Board Minutes for April 22, 2008.

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to approve the Design Review Board meeting minutes for April 22, 2008, as amended.

B-2. STREET TREE SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT

Street Tree Subcommittee Chair Messner reported that the next Street Tree Subcommittee meeting will be on May 28, 2008, at 2:00 p.m.

B-3. PLANNING DIRECTOR REPORT

Senior Planner Scott Kolwitz reported: 1) The Interim Building Official will discuss items of interest including lighting standards, utility boxes and inspection processes at today's meeting. 2) There will be a discussion at the next meeting regarding subcommittees and also a discussion regarding project preambles. 3) There are approximately three large projects that will be reviewed by the DRB in the next few months which may result in the possibility of some longer meetings.

B-4. BUILDING OFFICIAL DISCUSSION

Dan McLaughlin, Interim Building Official, discussed issues of interest including the location of utility boxes in the City, along with a PowerPoint presentation entitled "Building Official Discussion, Lighting, Utilities & Inspections." He stated that the types of utility boxes located throughout the City include electrical, gas, reclaimed water, metering boxes, backflow devices, and other purposes. He said that utility boxes should be located out of the right-of-way, and that the right-of-way can vary from back of sidewalk up to fifteen feet. Dan McLaughlin recommended that the drawings show the plans for the location of the utility boxes early in the project review process and also that the grading plans include the location of utilities. He said that methods of screening of the utility boxes include landscaping, painting the equipment, possibly building a wall which could be a part of the signage, or a decorative landscape wall. With regard to screening, he said that there should be a clearance of at least three feet around all backflow devices as well as an access to the equipment. and the equipment cannot be barricaded by screening. He believes that staff prefers the use of the color green for painting the equipment, and noted that the Water District does not want the test ports or valves painted, and that the Siamese twin devices can only be painted red. He stated that the lighting cut sheets and approved details regarding lighting need to be located on the project drawings as well as on the e-sheets to ensure that the electricians as well as the inspectors are aware of the approved requirements.

May 13, 2008 Page 3 of 11

C. PUBLIC COMMENT:

No speakers.

D. REVIEW OF AGENDA: A brief review of the agenda for requests for continuance.

Senior Planner Scott Kolwitz stated that staff recommends that Item K-1, No. 07-180-DRB, 5737 Armitos Avenue, be taken off calendar; the applicant requested that Item L-1, No. 07-211-DRB, 120 South Patterson Avenue, be taken off calendar; the applicant requested that Item L-4, No. 08-026-DRB, 7859 Rio Vista Drive, be taken off calendar; the applicant requested that Item M-1, No. 07-171-DRB, 351 S, Patterson Avenue/Hollister Avenue be continued to May 28, 2008; and that the applicant requested that Item N-1, No. 05-037-DRB, Cathedral Oaks, Highway 101 Interchange, be continued to June 10, 2008.

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to: a) take Item K-1, No. 07-180-DRB, 5737 Armitos Avenue, off calendar per staff recommendation; b) to take Item L-1, No. 07-211-DRB, 120 South Patterson Avenue, off calendar per the applicant's request; to take Item L-4, No. 08-026-DRB, 7859 Rio Vista Drive, off calendar per the applicant's request; d) to continue Item M-1, No. 07-171-DRB, 351 S, Patterson Avenue/Hollister Avenue, to May 28, 2008, per the applicant's request; and e) continue Item N-1, No. 05-037-DRB, Cathedral Oaks, Highway 101 Interchange, to June 10, 2008, per the applicant's request.

E. CONSENT CALENDAR SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT

Consent Calendar Subcommittee Member Branch reported that he met with the applicant today and reviewed Item F-1, No. 08-043-DRB, 550 Cambridge Drive, with Assistant Planner Shine Ling.

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

F-1. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 08-043-DRB

Cambridge Drive Community Church; 550 Cambridge Drive (APN 069-560-030) This is a request for *Final* review. The property includes an existing 2,640-square foot church sanctuary, an existing 1,450-square foot classroom building, and an existing 2,200-square foot office/classroom building on a 2.4-acre lot in the DR-3.3 zone district. The applicant proposes to construct a 449-square foot office addition to the education/classroom building. The resulting one-story structure would be 1,899 square feet. A 345-square foot as-built storage shed near the education/classroom building is also part of the scope of the project. No changes to the other buildings are proposed. All materials used for this project are to match the existing building. The project was filed by Donald Sharpe, architect, on behalf of Cambridge Drive Community Church, property owner. Related cases: 08-043-SCD; 08-043-LUP. (Continued from 4-22-08) (Shine Ling)

ACTION: Consent Calendar Subcommittee Member Branch reported that he and Assistant Planner Shine Ling met today with the applicant who showed the porch cover element on the plans as well as provided the light fixture cut

May 13, 2008 Page 4 of 11

sheets and color boards; and that Final Approval was granted as submitted, with the applicant writing the colors on the elevations at the review.

G. SIGN SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT

Sign Subcommittee Member Schneider reported that the Sign Subcommittee met today and reviewed Item H-1, No. 08-013-DRB; Item H-2, No. 08-024-DRB; and Item H-3, No. 08-028-DRB.

H. SIGN CALENDAR

H-1. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 08-013-DRB

6860 Cortona Drive (APN 073-140-015)

This is a request for *Final* review. The property includes three buildings totaling approximately 31,800 square feet of industrial building, warehouse, and chemical storage space on a 4.4-acre parcel in the M-RP (Industrial Research Park) zone district. The applicant proposes to install a monument sign at the front of the building. The dimensions of the monument structure would be 8' long by 4'-6" tall with an area of approximately 36-square feet. The sign attached to each side of the monument would be approximately 6'-2" long by 2'-11" tall, with an area of approximately 18-square feet. The non-illuminated signs would have pin-mounted bronze color letters for the building address, pin-mounted bronze colored suite numbers, and pin-mounted aluminum plates with bronze colored vinyl for the tenant names. The CMU monument structure will have 8" by 8" patterns cut into it, and paint to match the building. The project was filed by Dan Michealsen, property owner. Related cases: 07-191-OSP, -DRB, -CUP, -DPAM. (Last heard on 4-08-08*, 3-25-08, 3-11-08) (Brian Hiefield)

Sign Subcommittee Review and Action on May 13, 2008:

The plans were presented by Dan Michealsen, property owner.

SIGN SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Smith and carried by a 2 to 0 vote to grant Final Approval of Item H-1, No. 08-013-DRB, 6860 Cortona Drive, as submitted, with the following conditions: 1) the groundcover species shall be *Myoporum* which shall be noted on the plans; and 2) a note shall be added to the plans stating that there shall be no light spillage above or beyond the sides of the monument sign.

H-2. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 08-024-DRB

7408-7412 Hollister Avenue (APN 079-210-064)

This is a request for *Preliminary* review. The property includes the Hollister Business Park (HBP), which contains 8 buildings totaling 292,130 square feet on 24.427 gross acres in the M-RP zone district. The applicant requests a new Overall Sign Plan (OSP) for the Hollister Business Park. The proposed OSP provides for two (2) different types of signs: wall signs and directional/informational signs. The OSP specifies the maximum number of signs of each type and the maximum sign area for each permissible sign area. The project was filed by Steve Rice of RCI Builders,

May 13, 2008 Page 5 of 11

agent, on behalf of Hollister Business Park LTD, property owner, and Citrix Online, tenant. Related cases: 08-024-OSP; -CUP. (Last heard on 4-08-08, 3-25-08, 3-11-08) (Shine Ling)

Sign Subcommittee Review on May 13, 2008:

The plans were presented by Steve Rice of RCI Builders, agent, on behalf of Hollister Business Park LTD, property owner, and Citrix Online, tenant.

Comments:

- The applicant has responded to all of the previous DRB comments with the exception that the word "face" should be added at the end of the last sentence in <u>Section 11. Signage Allowances, #1 and #2</u>; and the following sentence should be added at the end of <u>Section III. Sign Specifications, #7</u>: "The light source shall be shielded so that there is no light trespass beyond the sign face".
- 2. The Sign Subcommittee recommends that Preliminary Approval be granted with the Subcommittee's comments.

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Smith and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to grant Preliminary Approval of Item H-2, No. 08-024-DRB, 7408-7412 Hollister Avenue, with the following conditions: 1) the word "face" shall be added at the end of the last sentence in <u>Section II. Signage Allowances, #1 and #2</u>; and 2) the following sentence shall be added at the end of <u>Section III. Sign Specifications, #7</u>: "The light sources shall be shielded so that there is no light trespass beyond the sign face."; and to continue No. 08-024-DRB to May 28, 2008, for Final review.

H-3. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 08-028-DRB

5730 Hollister Avenue (APN 071-063-006)

This is a request for *Preliminary* review. The property consists of a commercial property for multiple retail tenants on an approximately 8,500-square foot lot in the C-2 zone district (Retail Commercial). The applicant requests a new Overall Sign Plan for the building. The proposed Overall Sign Plan (OSP) provides for wall signs for individual tenants and for the shopping center. The OSP specifies the maximum number of signs of each type and the maximum sign area for each permissible sign area. The project was filed by David Lemmons of Central Coast Signs, agent, on behalf of Jerry Anderson, property owner. Related cases: 08-028-OSP. (Last heard on 4-22-08, 4-08-08*, 3-25-08*, 3-11-08) (Shine Ling)

Sign Subcommittee Review on May 13, 2008:

The plans were presented by David Lemmons of Central Coast Signs, agent, on behalf of Jerry Anderson, property owner.

May 13, 2008 Page 6 of 11

Comments:

- 1. The applicant has responded to all of the previous DRB comments except comment #5 that the word "seasonal" shall be added between the words "for" and "promotional" in <u>Section IV. Prohibited Signs, #5</u>; and comment #8 that the relationship shall be explained on the plans with regard to the temporary banner sign placed on a fixed location directly below the logo, with small eye bolts permanently mounted into the wall.
- 2. A typographical correction is needed to change the word "daus" to "days" in <u>Section IV. Prohibited Signs, #7.</u>
- 3. The Sign Subcommittee recommends that Preliminary Approval be granted with the Subcommittee's comments.

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Smith and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to grant Preliminary Approval of Item H-3, No. 08-028-DRB, 5730 Hollister Avenue, with the following conditions: 1) the word "seasonal" shall be added between the words "for" and "promotional" in Section IV. Prohibited Signs, #5; and 2) the relationship shall be explained on the plans with regard to the temporary banner sign placed on a fixed location directly below the logo, with small eye bolts permanently mounted into the wall; and to continue No. 08-028-DRB, to May 28, 2008, for Final review.

- I. REVISED FINAL CALENDAR
 - NONE
- J. FINAL CALENDAR
 - NONE

K. PRELIMINARY CALENDAR

K-1. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 07-180-DRB

5737 Armitos Avenue (APN 071-033-005)

This is a request for *Preliminary* review. The property consists of an existing single family dwelling and detached garage on a 6,227-square foot lot in the R-2 zone district. The existing single family home and garage will be demolished, to be followed by the construction of a two-story duplex. The proposed project is a one-lot subdivision of a 0.14-acre lot for condominium purposes to create a duplex structure, consisting of two (2) attached residential airspace units. Unit #1 (front unit) will be 3 bedrooms, 2.75 baths and would total 1,999 square feet, while Unit #2 (rear unit) will be 3 bedrooms, 2.5 baths and would total 1,735 square feet. The proposed building coverage on site will be 2,077 square feet or 33% of the 6,227 square foot lot. Landscaping will consist of 2,495 square feet or 40% of the existing lot; paved areas consist of 1,665 square feet or 27% of the existing lot. The proposed Floor-to-Area ratio (FAR), including garage areas, is 0.60. The maximum height of the structure is 25'-7". Discretionary approval for a Modification to required front and rear yard setbacks is also requested. The project was filed by Troy White of Dudek Engineering

May 13, 2008 Page 7 of 11

and Environmental, agent, for Eva and Silvino Guerrero, property owners. Related cases: 07-180-TPM; -M; -LUP. (Last heard on 4-08-08, 03-11-08) (Shine Ling)

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to take Item K-1, No. 07-180-DRB, 5737 Armitos Avenue, off calendar per staff recommendation.

L. CONCEPTUAL/PRELIMINARY CALENDAR

L-1. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 07-211-DRB

120 South Patterson Avenue (APN 065-050-030)

This is a request for *Conceptual/Preliminary* review. The applicant proposes to install a two sided freestanding entry sign for the Patterson Place Apartments measuring a maximum of 4-feet 4-inches tall by 8-feet wide. The sign area is proposed to be approximately 18 ½ -inches by 7-feet 4-inches for an aggregate of approximately 11 square feet on each side of the structure. The non-illuminated sign shall have aluminum pin mounted flat cut out (F.C.O.) "Burnt Crimson" lettering. The portion of the sign reading "Patterson Place" will have 6-inch high letters, the portion of the sign reading "APARTMENTS" will have 4-inch high letters, and the address portion of the sign will have 4 ½ -inch high letters. The sign would be located approximately 9-feet east of the edge of public right-of-way and approximately 36-feet north of the Patterson Place Apartments entrance. No logos are allowed as part of the sign. The application was filed by agent Craig Minus of The Towbes Group, property owner. Related case: 74-CP-39, 07-211-SCC. (Last heard on 4-22-08*, 4-8-08*, 3-11-08*, 2-26-08*, 2-12-08*, 1-23-08*, 1-08-08, 12-18-07) (Brian Hiefield)

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to take Item L-1, No. 07-211-DRB, 120 South Patterson Avenue, off calendar per the applicant's request.

L-2. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 07-222-DRB

1 South Los Carneros Road (APN 073-330-023)

This is a request for *Conceptual/Preliminary* review. The property includes a 100,000square foot commercial property on a 10.31-acre lot in the M-RP zone district. The applicant proposes to construct a wireless communications facility on the roof of the building. The facility would consist of two roof-top mounted antenna arrays and associated equipment located within the existing rooftop equipment screenwalls. Part of the screenwall would be replaced with RF-transparent fiberglass with a finish to match the existing screenwall. No changes to building height, floor area, elevations, or parking are proposed. The project was filed by Gordon Bell of Strategic Real Estate Services, Inc., agent, on behalf of I. V. Investments, property owner. Related cases: 07-222-LUP. (Shine Ling)

<u>Site visits</u>: Made by all members present. <u>Ex-parte conversations</u>: None.

May 13, 2008 Page 8 of 11

The plans were presented by Margaret Chang, Sprint-Nextel Wireless, representing Gordon Bell of Strategic Real Estate Services, Inc., agent on behalf of I.V. Investments, property owner.

Senior Planner Scott Kolwitz read the following two questions from Chair Wignot in an e-mail dated May 9, 2008: 1) Does the applicant need to install signage on the rooftop adjacent to the antenna arrays, warning maintenance workers of a radiation danger?; and 2) In the event of a main power outage, is the applicant going to need to provide switchgear and a hookup point for a mobile emergency generator to be parked adjacent to the building?

Margaret Chang, representing Gordon Bell, agent, responded to the questions from Chair Wignot as follows: 1) There will be no signage in the area where the proposed equipment will be located because only the maintenance crew is allowed to access that area; and 2) There is a proposed emergency generator receptacle for use by a truck to be driven in with a generator in the even of an emergency, which is shown on drawing A-1, and that there is no existing backup generator on site.

Comments:

- 1. Vice Chair Smith stated that it is appreciated that the project totally blends in.
- 2. Member Schneider stated that there does not appear to be any ramifications from the project.
- 3. The plans will need to show that the colors will match existing.

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to grant Preliminary Approval of Item L-2, No. 07-222-DRB, 1 South Los Carneros Road, as submitted; and continue to May 28, 2008, for Final review on the Consent Calendar.

L-3. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 07-230-DRB

7154 Tuolumne Drive (APN 077-104-019)

This is a request for Conceptual/Preliminary review. The property includes a 1,254square foot residence with an attached 441-square foot 2-car garage on a 7,245square foot lot in the 7-R-1 zone district. The applicant proposes to construct 787square feet in additions, consisting of a 664-square foot second-floor addition, and a 123-square foot interior stairwell leading up to the second-floor addition. The resulting 2-story structure would be 2,482 square feet, consisting of a 2,041-square foot single-family dwelling and an attached 441-square foot 2-car garage. This proposal is within the maximum floor area guidelines for this property, which is 2,241 square feet plus an allocation of 440 square feet for a 2-car garage. All materials used for this project are to match the existing residence; however the existing aluminum sliding windows will be replaced with vinyl. The project was filed by agent Fernando Vega on behalf of Maria Teresa and Jose Castillo, property owners. Related cases: 03-093-DRB, -LUP; 07-230-LUP. (Last heard on 04-08-08, 2-26-08) (Brian Hiefield)

May 13, 2008 Page 9 of 11

The plans were presented by agent Fernando Vega on behalf of Maria Teresa and Jose Castillo, property owners. He presented a site plan showing the relation of the project to adjacent properties and also a shadow study showing the impact to the neighbor's property to the east. He stated as a point of reference that the elevations show that the building is located below a 30-degree angle and would be in compliance with the City of Santa Barbara's solar ordinance. He presented a copy of the "Passive Solar Building Design Guidelines and Recognition Program, December 2006 Proposal, Prepared by City of Santa Barbara Community Development", stating that the chart explains that the time of year when there would be the most shade would be December 21st.

Speaker:

Elizabeth Brooks, neighbor to the east, presented photographs with regard to solar access in her backyard. She stated that there is sunshine first thing in the morning and less sunshine in mid-afternoon. She expressed concern that the sunlight in her yard would be blocked in the afternoon if there is a tall roof which would be a second story on the house next door. She requested that the DRB consider a hip roof over the stair tower which she hopes would allow for more sunshine in the afternoon on her property.

Fernando Vega, agent, stated that he considered a hip roof in the rear which was suggested by the DRB at the previous review, but it did not appear very good architecturally from the public view and it would only make a difference in a small area with regard to the shade impact.

Comments:

- 1. Member Schneider commented: a) the plans are an improvement from the plans that were originally submitted; and b) while the hip roof on the stair tower is somewhat foreign to the architecture, it does help the shadow impact slightly with regard to the property to the east.
- 2. Member Branch commented: a) agreed with Member Schneider's comment regarding the hip roof, stating that upon review of the shadow study, hipping the roof over the tall element is not a significant impact; and b) the applicant concentrated the massing of the project centrally on the plans about as best as possible.
- 3. The applicant needs to provide plans regarding colors and exterior light fixtures.

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Branch and carried by a 4 to 0 vote (Abstain: Messner; Absent: Brown, Wignot) to grant Preliminary Approval of Item L-3, No. 07-230-DRB, 7154 Tuolumne Drive, with the following comment: the applicant shall provide the plans with regard to colors and exterior light fixtures; and to continue No. 07-230-DRB, to May 28, 2008, for Final review on the Consent Calendar.

May 13, 2008 Page 10 of 11

L-4. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 08-026-DRB

7859 Rio Vista Drive (APN 079-600-034)

This is a request for *Conceptual/Preliminary* review. The property includes a 1,180square foot residence and an attached 462-square foot two-car garage (with a permitted partial garage conversion of 168 square feet) on a 6,534-square foot lot in the DR-4 zone district. The applicant proposes to construct 623 square feet in additions (114 square feet on the first-floor and 509 square feet on a new secondfloor). The resulting two-story structure would be 2,265 square feet, consisting of a 1,803-square foot single-family dwelling and an attached 462-square foot two-car garage (with a permitted partial garage conversion of 168 square feet). This proposal is consistent with the maximum floor area guidelines for the R-1 zone district. All materials used for this project are to match the existing residence. The project was filed by Tony Xiques of Dexign Systems, agent, on behalf of Robert Andre, property owner. Related cases: 08-026-LUP. (Last heard on 4-22-08*, 3-11-08) (Shine Ling)

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to take Item L-4, No. 08-026-DRB, 7859 Rio Vista Drive, off calendar per the applicant's request.

M. CONCEPTUAL CALENDAR

M-1. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 07-171-DRB

351 S. Patterson Avenue/Hollister Avenue (APNs 065-090-022, -023, -028)

This is a request for *Conceptual* review of a new application for the Goleta Valley Cottage Hospital which proposes to improve its existing facilities in order to comply with State Senate Bill 1953, a law requiring the seismic retrofit and/or upgrading of all acute care facilities. Existing development consists of a 93,090-square foot hospital and a 41,224-square foot Medical Office Building (MOB).

The applicant proposes to replace the hospital with an entirely new facility and demolishing the old hospital building, resulting in a total of 152,658 square feet, a net increase of approximately 59,568 square feet. The existing MOB located north of the hospital is also proposed to be replaced and will be demolished, resulting in a total of 55,668 square feet, a net increase of approximately 14,444 square feet.

Parking to serve both the hospital and MOB uses will be redeveloped on both sites and a temporary construction parking area including 377 spaces is proposed across South Patterson Avenue in the northwestern portion of the parcel known as the "Hollipat" site.

Phased construction is planned through 2011 in a manner that will continue to provide all existing medical services to the community.

The hospital, MOB, and a portion of the Hollipat parcels have a General Plan Land Use Designation of Office & Institutional. The hospital parcel has a Hospital Overlay. The remaining portion of the Hollipat parcel has split land use designations of medium and high density residential. The zoning for the hospital, MOB, and a portion of the Hollipat parcel is Professional & Institutional (PI). The remaining portion of the

May 13, 2008 Page 11 of 11

Hollipat parcel has split zoning of Design Residential, 20 and 25 units per acre. The MOB parcel and a portion of the Hollipat parcel have a Design Control Overlay and the southern portion of the hospital parcel has the Approach Zone Overlay. The project was filed by agent Suzanne Elledge on behalf of the Goleta Valley Cottage Hospital, property owner. Related cases: 07-171-OA, 07-171-DP. (Continued from 2-12-08, 01-23-08, 12-18-07, 11-06-07) (Cindy Moore)

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to continue Item M-1, No. 07-171-DRB, 351 S, Patterson Avenue/Hollister Avenue, to May 28, 2008, per the applicant's request.

N. ADVISORY CALENDAR

N-1. DESIGN REVIEW BOARD PERMIT NO. 05-037-DRB

Cathedral Oaks/Highway 101 Interchange

This is a request for further *Advisory* review. The proposed project includes the removal of the existing Cathedral Oaks Road/Hollister Avenue/US Highway 101 bridge over U.S. Highway 101 and bridge over Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) and the construction of new bridges to align with the existing terminus of Cathedral Oaks Road. The proposed overcrossing (US Highway 101) and overhead (UPRR) bridges would accommodate a 12-foot vehicle lane in each direction, one 12-foot center left turn pocket lane/median, 5-foot shoulders/bike lanes in each direction, and a 6-foot sidewalk located on the west side. The project was filed by Caltrans, in association with the City of Goleta. (Last heard on 04-08-08*, 01-23-08*, 11-06-07*, 10-16-07*, 08-21-07, 07-17-07; 05-02-06) Related case: 05-037-DP. (Rosemarie Gaglione; Laura Bridley)

MOTION: Schneider moved, seconded by Messner and carried by a 5 to 0 vote (Absent: Brown, Wignot) to continue Item N-1, No. 05-037-DRB, Cathedral Oaks, Highway 101 Interchange, to June 10, 2008, per the applicant's request.

O. DISCUSSION ITEMS

O-1. REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS BY MEMBERS

None.

O-2. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY MEMBERS

Member Schneider announced that he will not be present at the May 28, 2008, DRB meeting.

P. ADJOURNMENT: 4:05 P.M.

Minutes approved on May 28, 2008.

Design Review Board Agenda May 13, 2008 Page 12 of 12