

**ATTACHMENT 8**

**ERRATA SHEET, CABRILLO BUSINESS PARK FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL  
IMPACT REPORT**

**ERRATA SHEET  
DATED APRIL 23, 2007  
TO THE CABRILLO BUSINESS PARK ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
(37-SB-EIR)  
37-SB-RZ, 37-SB-OA, 37-SB-TM, 37-SB-DP, 37-SB-RN  
CABRILLO BUSINESS PARK PROJECT  
6767 HOLLISTER AVENUE, ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 073-450-005**

**A. LOCATION**

The Cabrillo Business Park project site is a 92.25-acre parcel located at the southwest corner of the Los Carneros Road/Hollister Avenue intersection. The property address is 6767 Hollister Avenue. APN 073-450-005.

**B. BACKGROUND**

*Cabrillo Business Park Project EIR*

A Draft EIR (Draft EIR) was prepared for the proposed project by the Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) under contract to the County of Santa Barbara. The EIR was circulated for public review between June 5, 2002 and July 19, 2002 and a public hearing was held on the EIR on July 10, 2002. On March 17, 2003, the City Council validated the public hearing held for the EIR in the interest of fairness (Resolution 03-17).

A Final EIR and responses to comments received during the public comment period were prepared by SAIC under contract to the City of Goleta. The Final EIR was released on April 13, 2007 and is available for review at the offices of the City of Goleta Planning and Environmental Services Department.

**C. Final EIR Corrections and Clarifications**

The Final EIR is hereby revised by the following corrections and clarifications:

Summary of Impacts and Mitigations

p. 1-55 Traffic and Circulation: reference should be to Impact TR-14 and not Impact TR-13

Aesthetics/Visual Resources

p. 4.1-22 Mitigation Measure AES-2.2 (undergrounding of utilities on the western property boundary) is deleted as it is not required as CEQA mitigation; undergrounding of these utilities is provided for in the Development Agreement

## Public Facilities

- p. 4.11-6 Mitigation Measures PF-3.1 through PF-3.3 are changed from required to recommended due to the identification of wastewater impacts as being potentially adverse, but less than significant.

## Traffic and Circulation

- p. 4.13-28 Impact TR-14: text clarification that this impact is significant and unavoidable

- p. 4.13-33 Impact TR-17: was inadvertently omitted in final printing and should be included in the FEIR as follows:

*The proposed project would generate additional vehicular trips that would have a significant contribution to cumulative impacts at the Storke Road/Hollister Avenue intersection during the PM peak hour without the Phelps Road Extension. The data presented in Table 4.13-9 indicate that the proposed project traffic would increase the V/C ratio by 0.058, exceeding the City threshold of 2.0-percent increase at an intersection that operates at 0.86 – 0.90. This is considered a *potentially significant contribution to the cumulative impact* at this intersection in the PM peak period.*

- p. 4.13-35 Impact TR-23: text clarification that this impact is *significant and unavoidable*.

- p. 4.13-51 Residual Impact statement is clarified as follows:

Upon implementation of required mitigation measures, project-specific Impacts TR-3 and TR-7 remain significant.

Upon implementation of required mitigation measures, cumulative impacts TR-14 and TR-23 remain significant.

These are impacts that would occur within County of Santa Barbara's jurisdiction and not within the City of Goleta's jurisdiction. Under CEQA Section 15091(a)(2) mitigation of these impacts is the responsibility of the County of Santa Barbara and not the City of Goleta. Adoption of a Statement of Overriding Considerations for these impacts is not required.

## General Plan Policies

- p. 5-12 OS 9.3, Alternatives to Impact Fees: policy discussion is clarified as follows: "Consistent with the provisions of the Development Agreement, the project will provide for the development of, and access to, the project open space/wetland areas." The dedication of an open space easement is not proposed.

## Alternatives

- p. 6-5 Alternative 3, Biological Resources: text is revised to indicate that impacts on wetlands associated with Alternative 3 would be *significant and unavoidable* (see also Table 6.7).
- p. 6-41 Alternative 7, Biological Resources: text of last sentence is revised to read: "Impacts would continue to be *potentially significant, but feasibly mitigated.*"
- p. 6-41 Alternative 7, Fire Protection: text of last sentence is revised to read: "Impacts would continue to be *potentially significant, but feasibly mitigated.*"
- p. 6-41 Alternative 7, Land Use: text of last sentence is revised to read: "Impacts would continue to be *potentially significant, but feasibly mitigated.*"

## Responses to Comments

### **Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District, July 18, 2002**

This letter, submitted to the City of Goleta during the comment period on the Draft EIR, was inadvertently misplaced, and not provided to the EIR consultant for inclusion in the FEIR Appendix I. The letter is included as Attachment 1 to this Errata Sheet and responses are presented below.

### **General Comment**

1. The FEIR contains revised air quality emissions calculations based on the fact that complete buildout of the proposed project would not occur until Year 2020, rather than Year 2010 that was anticipated when the DEIR was circulated in 2002. Using the APCD-approved analytical approach under URBEMIS2002, version 8.7, including modeling the worst-case emissions under a winter rather than summer day, the revised emissions related to City of Goleta thresholds of significance are all adverse, but less than significant (see Table 4.2-3, page 4.2-6).

As proposed project-specific and project contributions to cumulative air quality impacts would be less than significant, no mitigation measures can be required under CEQA. However, FEIR mitigation measure AQ-4, that identifies several components to maximize energy and associated ozone precursor reductions, is recommended. No additional change to the FEIR is required.

## **Specific Comments**

1. FEIR section 4.2.1, Existing Setting, updates information regarding the air quality regulatory setting since 2002, including adoption of the 2001 and 2004 CAPs. No additional change to the FEIR is required.
2. Revised air quality emissions related to City of Goleta thresholds of significance are all adverse, but less than significant (see Table 4.2-3, page 4.2-6). Therefore, the proposed project does not fulfill the APCD criteria for a “large project likely to exceed 25 tpy of NOx or ROG.” The EIR addressed short-term, long-term, direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts as required by CEQA. No additional change to the FEIR is required.
3. The DEIR and FEIR Impact AQ-6 and mitigation measure AQ-6 identify that project-generated sources of toxic air contaminant emissions would be subject to existing APCD requirements. The standard mitigation measure recognizes that the proposed project would be subject to APCD permitting requirements that would ensure any potential impacts would be conditioned to less than significance. No additional change to the FEIR is required.
4. FEIR Mitigation Measure AQ-2 (DEIR Mitigation Measure AQ-4) references those standard conditions issued by APCD to address short-term construction impacts. Measure AQ-2 a., specifying use of newer diesel engines with federally mandated “clean” diesel engines, addresses the intent of the comment. No additional change to the FEIR is required.
5. As the proposed project is within the City of Goleta’s jurisdiction, it is not subject to review of the Santa Barbara County Innovative Building Review Committee (IBRC). No additional change to the FEIR is required.
6. The project’s consistency with the City of Goleta General Plan Conservation Element Policy Protection of Air Quality is addressed in FEIR section 5.0, pages 5-25 to 5-27.
7. As the proposed project’s impacts on air quality would be adverse, but less than significant, there is no nexus to develop an additional project alternative designed to reduce these impacts. No additional change to the FEIR is required.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1          Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District, letter of July 18, 2002